

AFFINE HYPERSURFACES ADMITTING A POINTWISE SYMMETRY

Y. LU, C. SCHARLACH

ABSTRACT. An affine hypersurface M is said to admit a pointwise symmetry, if there exists a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(T_p M)$ for all $p \in M$, which preserves (pointwise) the affine metric h , the difference tensor K and the affine shape operator S . In this paper, we deal with positive definite affine hypersurfaces of dimension three. First we solve an algebraic problem. We determine the non-trivial stabilizers G of the pair (K, S) under the action of $SO(3)$ on an Euclidean vectorspace (V, h) and find a representative (canonical form of K and S) of each $SO(3)/G$ -orbit. Then, we classify hypersurfaces admitting a pointwise G -symmetry for all non-trivial stabilizers G (apart of Z_2). Besides well-known hypersurfaces (for $Z_2 \times Z_2$ we get the locally homogenous hypersurface $(x_1 - 1/2 x_3^2)(x_2 - 1/2 x_4^2) = 1$) we obtain e.g. warped product structures of two-dimensional affine spheres (resp. quadrics) and curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study nondegenerate (equi-)affine hypersurfaces $F: M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. It is well known that there exists a canonical choice of transversal vector field ξ called the affine (Blaschke) normal, which induces a connection ∇ , a symmetric bilinear form h and a 1-1 tensor field S by

$$(1.1) \quad D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi,$$

$$(1.2) \quad D_X \xi = -SX,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. The connection ∇ is called the induced affine connection, h is called the affine metric (or Blaschke metric) and S is called the affine shape operator. In general ∇ is not the Levi Civita connection $\hat{\nabla}$ of h . The difference tensor K is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad K(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \hat{\nabla}_X Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Moreover the form $h(K(X, Y), Z)$ is a symmetric cubic form with the property that for any fixed $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\text{trace } K_X$ vanishes. This last property is called the apolarity condition. The difference tensor K , together with the affine metric h and the affine shape operator are the most fundamental algebraic invariants for a nondegenerate affine hypersurface. We say that M is positive definite if the affine metric h is positive definite. For details of the basic theory of nondegenerate affine hypersurfaces we refer to [6] and [9].

Furthermore, the fundamental equations for an affine hypersurface are,

$$(1.4) \quad R(X, Y)Z = h(Y, Z)SX - h(X, Z)SY,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla h(X, Y, Z) = \nabla h(Y, X, Z),$$

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla S(X, Y) = \nabla S(Y, X),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A15 (15A21).

Key words and phrases. 3-dimensional affine hypersurfaces, pointwise symmetry, stabilizers of a cubic form and a (1,1)-form, affine differential geometry, affine spheres, reduction theorems, Calabi product of hyperbolic affine spheres.

The second author was partially supported by the DFG-project *Geometric Problems and Special PDEs*.

$$(1.7) \quad h(SX, Y) = h(X, SY),$$

where R is the curvature tensor with respect to ∇ . Define $C := \nabla h$, it is totally symmetric and

$$(1.8) \quad C(X, Y, Z) = -2h(K(X, Y), Z).$$

An affine hypersurface M is said to admit a pointwise G -symmetry, if G is a (orientation-preserving) subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T_p M)$ for all $p \in M$, which preserves (pointwise) h , K and S . Necessarily G is a subgroup of the isometry group of $T_p M$.

The study of submanifolds which admit a pointwise symmetry was initiated by Bryant in [1] where he studied 3-dimensional special Lagrangian submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Here the symmetry group preserves (pointwise) the first fundamental form and the fundamental cubic. Following essentially the same approach, a classification of 3-dimensional positive definite affine hyperspheres admitting pointwise symmetries was obtained in [11]. Here we deal with all 3-dimensional positive definite hypersurfaces admitting a pointwise symmetry, i. e. the symmetry group preserves additionally to the affine metric and the difference tensor the affine shape operator.

First we solve an algebraic problem. In Section 2 we determine the non-trivial stabilizers G of the pair (K, S) under the action of $SO(3)$ on a Euclidean vectorspace (V, h) . The non-trivial stabilizers are isomorphic to a copy of $SO(3)$, $Z_2 \times SO(2)$, $SO(2)$, A_4 , S_3 , $Z_2 \times Z_2$, Z_3 or Z_2 . Compared with the stabilizers of K (cf. [1]) we get additionally $Z_2 \times SO(2)$ and $Z_2 \times Z_2$. Furthermore we find a representative (canonical form of K and S) of each $SO(3)/G$ -orbit.

In Section 3 we start with the classification of positive definite affine hypersurfaces admitting a pointwise G -symmetry for all non-trivial stabilizers G (apart of Z_2). There are no hypersurfaces admitting a pointwise $Z_2 \times SO(2)$ -symmetry. We will show that a hypersurface with $G = S_3$ must be a hypersphere, hence the classification can be found in [11]. A hypersurface admits a pointwise $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -symmetry if and only if it is affine equivalent to $(x_1 - 1/2 x_3^2)(x_2 - 1/2 x_4^2) = 1$ (and thus it is a locally homogeneous affine hypersurface with rank one shape operator).

The final section is organized as follows. First, we show that for $G = Z_3$ resp. $G = SO(2)$ we can extend the canonical form of K and S locally and thus obtain information about the coefficients of K , S and ∇ from the basic equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci. In particular, it follows that the hypersurface admits a warped product structure $\mathbb{R} \times_{ef} N^2$. Then following essentially the same approach as in [12], we classify such hypersurfaces by showing how they can be constructed starting from 2-dimensional positive definite affine spheres resp. quadrics.

This last classification can be seen as a generalization of the well known Calabi product of hyperbolic affine spheres and of the constructions for affine spheres considered in [4]. The following natural question for a (de)composition theorem, related to the Calabi product and its generalizations in [4], gives another motivation for studying 3-dimensional hypersurfaces admitting a pointwise symmetry:

(De)composition Problem. *Let M^n be a nondegenerate affine hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Under what conditions do there exist affine hyperspheres M_1^r in $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ and M_2^s in $\mathbb{R}^{s+1}$, with $r + s = n - 1$, such that $M = I \times_{f_1} M_1 \times_{f_2} M_2$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and f_1 and f_2 depend only on I (i.e. M admits a warped product structure)? How can the original immersion be recovered starting from the immersion of the affine spheres?*

Of course the first dimension in which the above problem can be considered is three and our study of 3-dimensional affine hypersurfaces with Z_3 -symmetry or $SO(2)$ -symmetry provides an answer in that case.

2. CANONICAL FORMS FOR K AND S

Let (V, h) be a 3-dimensional Euclidean space, endowed with a symmetric endomorphism S and a symmetric $(2, 1)$ -form K with trace $K_X = 0$ for all $X \in V$. Let G be a subgroup of the isometry group $SO(3)$ of (V, h) . Assume that S and K are preserved by G , in other words, for any $X, Y \in V, g \in G \subset SO(3)$, we have

$$(I) \quad h(gX, gY) = h(X, Y),$$

$$(II) \quad K(gX, gY) = g(K(X, Y)),$$

$$(III) \quad S(gX) = g(SX).$$

If $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is an orthonormal basis, we may define a polynomial invariant to G , that is

$$f(x, y, z) = h(K(xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3, xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3), xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3).$$

According to [1] (resp. [11]), we know that

Theorem 2.1. *There exists an orthonormal basis of V such that either*

- (i) $f = 0$, in this case f is preserved by $SO(3)$,
- (ii) $f = \lambda(2x^3 - 3xy^2 - 3xz^2)$, for some $\lambda > 0$ in which case f is preserved by $SO(2)$, which consists of any rotation on yz -plane,
- (iii) $f = 6\lambda xyz$, for some $\lambda > 0$ in which case f is preserved by A_4 of order 12, which is generated by rotation by an angle of π about x -, y -, z -axes, and rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ about the line $x = y = z$,
- (iv) $f = \lambda(x^3 - 3xy^2)$ for some $\lambda > 0$, in which case f is preserved by S_3 of order 6, which is generated by rotation by an angle of π about x -axis, and rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ about the z -axis,
- (v) $f = \lambda(2x^3 - 3xy^2 - 3xz^2) + 6\mu xyz$, for some $\lambda, \mu > 0$, with $\lambda \neq \mu$, in which case f is preserved by Z_2 of order 2, which is generated by rotation by an angle of π about x -axis,
- (vi) $f = \lambda(2x^3 - 3xy^2 - 3xz^2) + \mu(y^3 - 3yz^2)$ for some $\lambda, \mu > 0$, with $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$, in which case f is preserved by Z_3 , which is generated by rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ about the x -axis.

It is obvious that the traceless $(2, 1)$ -form K can be determined completely by f . As to the polynomials in Theorem 2.1, they are respectively

- (i) $K = 0$;
- (ii) $K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (iii) $K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (iv) $K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_3} = 0$;
- (v) $K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ 0 & \mu & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & -\lambda \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (vi) $K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}$, $K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;

Note that an orthogonal transformation T can create a new basis $\{\tilde{e}\} = \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\}$ from the given one, $\{e\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. The relation of these two bases is determined by,

$$\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}T_e,$$

where T_e is the matrix form of T with respect to the basis $\{e\}$. In this case, the matrix form of any linear transformation L with respect to $\{\tilde{e}\}$ comes from a similar transformation T acting on the matrix with respect to $\{e\}$, i.e.

$$L_{\tilde{e}} = T_e^{-1} L_e T_e.$$

Therefore, in $\{\tilde{e}\}$ we obtain a congruent expression for the group G . In general, the expressions of f are different in these two bases. However, they will be the same when T is chosen from the groups mentioned in Theorem 2.1, and then condition (I) and (II) are satisfied. We would like to find out for which subgroups also S is preserved, i. e. in matrix form, $SG = GS$. (By Theorem 2.1 the group G is a subgroup of $SO(3)$, $SO(2)$, A_4 , S_3 , Z_2 or Z_3 .) In the following, we divide the possibilities of G into three cases. First, G is finite and cyclic; second, it is finite but non-cyclic; finally, it is infinite.

From now on we shall denote by P_i the rotation by an angle of π about e_i , R_i the rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ about e_i , Q the rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ about $x = y = z$.

For the sake of proof, we present here the structure of S_3 and A_4 ,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_3 &= \langle P_1, R_3 \rangle \\ &= \{id\} \cup \{P_1, P_1 R_3, R_3 P_1\} \cup \{R_3, R_3^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A_4 &= \langle P_1, P_2, Q \rangle \\ &= \{id\} \cup \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \cup \{Q, Q^2, P_1 Q, P_2 Q, P_3 Q, Q^2 P_1, Q^2 P_2, Q^2 P_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

in which the second part of the union is the set of elements whose order is 2, and the third part is of order 3. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 S = S P_1 &\Rightarrow S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & d \\ 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix}, \\ R_3 S = S R_3 &\Rightarrow S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q S = S Q &\Rightarrow S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ b & a & b \\ b & b & a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. Let G be cyclic, i.e., $G = \langle g \rangle$, in which g has finite order. Then we obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of $SO(3)$ and let g be of order 2. We have some orthonormal basis, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{e_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ 0 & \mu & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & -\lambda \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ S &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & d \\ 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where either $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $\lambda \neq \mu$, or $\lambda = \mu > 0$ and $(b - c)^2 + (b + c - 2a - 2d)^2 \neq 0$, or $\lambda = 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $d \neq 0$, or $\mu = 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $(b - c)^2 + d^2 \neq 0$. K and S are preserved by $G = Z_2 = \langle P_1 \rangle$.

Proof.

- (1) $G = Z_2$: From Theorem 2.1 we know $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$. Then the expression of S comes from $P_1 S = S P_1$, and K from the theorem. In this case, $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, and $\lambda \neq \mu$;
- (2) $G \subset S_3$: If $G = \langle P_1 R_3 \rangle$, then it is congruent to $\langle P_1 \rangle$ since $(R_3 P_1)^{-1} P_1 R_3 (R_3 P_1) = P_1$. This indicates we can construct a new basis by $R_3 P_1$, such that $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$ and f is invariant. The same is true for $G = \langle R_3 P_1 \rangle$ and $P_1 R_3$. Thus we can assume that $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$. Through the orthogonal transformation

$$(2.3) \quad (\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3) = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$f = \tilde{\lambda}(3x^3 - 3xy^2)$ can be turned into the form $\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}(2\tilde{x}^3 - 3\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2 - 3\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^2) + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}6\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$, and for S we get in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & d \\ & d & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & \frac{1}{2}(b - 2d + c) & \frac{1}{2}(b - c) \\ & \frac{1}{2}(b - c) & \frac{1}{2}(b + 2d + c) \end{pmatrix},$$

Since $R_3 \notin G$, we have that $R_3S \neq SR_3$, which implies that $b \neq c$ or $b + c \neq 2(a + d)$. So we get the second possibility of the Lemma ($\lambda = \mu = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2} > 0$);

- (3) $G \subset A_4$: Since $Q^2P_2Q = QP_3Q^2 = P_1$, we can assume $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$. $f = 6\tilde{\lambda}xyz$ has the right form with $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = \tilde{\lambda} > 0$. To obtain a cyclic group, S must not be invariant to any other element of A_4 , therefore we have $d \neq 0$;
- (4) $G \subset SO(2)$: Clearly this is the case when $\mu = 0$, $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda} > 0$. If there is a non-trivial element of $SO(2)$ preserving S , which is not P_1 , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \theta \neq k\pi$$

i. e. $d = 0$ and $b = c$. Since G is cyclic, we have $(b - c)^2 + d^2 \neq 0$;

- (5) $G \subset SO(3)$: Due to $f = 0$, we can choose an orthonormal basis such that S is diagonal, in which case S is invariant to any P_i . This implies that G is not cyclic. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of $SO(3)$ and let g be of order 3. We have some orthonormal basis, such that*

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where either $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$, or $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda > 0$ and $a \neq b$. K and S are preserved by $G = Z_3 = \langle R_1 \rangle$.

Proof.

- (1) $G = Z_3$: It comes simply from the theorem, and $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$;
- (2) $G \subset S_3$: G must be $\langle R_3 \rangle$. So in this basis,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that S is invariant to P_1 , which indicates it is actually S_3 -symmetry;

- (3) $G \subset A_4$: If $G = \langle Q \rangle$, then the transformation

$$(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3) = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

yields $G = \langle R_1 \rangle$ and $f = 6\tilde{\lambda}xyz$ is transformed into $\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\sqrt{3}}(2\tilde{x}^3 - 3\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2 - 3\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^2 + \sqrt{2}\tilde{y}^3 - 3\sqrt{2}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}^2)$.

We get the result when $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda = \sqrt{2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\sqrt{3}}$. Since $(P_iQ)^2 = Q^2P_i$, it suffices to show that $\langle P_iQ \rangle$

is congruent to $\langle Q \rangle$ in A_4 . Taking P_1Q as an example, one can check $(Q^2P_2)(P_1Q)(P_2Q) = Q$. The condition $R_1S = SR_1$ yields the expression of S immediately. Assume $a = b$, then the symmetry is completely determined by K . In this case G can be extended to A_4 . Hence, in order to obtain a cyclic group, a must not be equal to b ;

- (4) $G \subset SO(2)$: Obviously this is the case when $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu = 0$. Note that at this moment, V actually admits $SO(2)$ -symmetry;
- (5) $G \subset SO(3)$: It is easy to see this is the result when $\lambda = \mu = 0$, and V also admits $SO(2)$ -symmetry. $\square$

If $G = \langle g \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of $SO(3)$ and g is of order other than 2 and 3, then actually $SO(2) \subseteq G$, which is not finite.

Case 2. Let G be finite but have several generators. Thus G only can be a subgroup of S_3 , A_4 , $SO(2)$ or $SO(3)$. We get the following canonical forms with isotropy group G :

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite, non-cyclic subgroup of $SO(3)$. There exists an orthonormal basis of V such that either*

(i)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which a, b, c are not all equal when $\lambda > 0$, and distinct when $\lambda = 0$. K and S are preserved by $G = Z_2 \times Z_2 = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$; or

(ii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = 0,$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda > 0$. K and S are preserved by $G = S_3$; or

(iii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = aI,$$

where $\lambda > 0$. K and S are preserved by $G = A_4$

Proof. If $G \subseteq S_3$, then from (2.1) it must be $\langle P_1, R_3 \rangle$, i.e., $G = S_3$. This corresponds to case (ii) of the lemma.

Suppose $G \subseteq A_4$. If G has two generators of order 3, then V has two transversal planes invariant to S and thus $S = aI$, in which case $G = A_4$. If G has one generator of order 2 and another of order 3, by the following relations,

$$\langle P_i, P_jQ \rangle = \langle P_iP_jQ, P_jQ \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle Q, P_iQ \rangle & i = j \\ \langle P_kQ, P_jQ \rangle & \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \end{cases}$$

we know $S = aI$ and $G = A_4$ again. Obviously this corresponds to case (iii) of the lemma. If G is generated by two elements of order 2, we get $G = \langle P_i, P_j \rangle_{i \neq j} = \{id, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$. This corresponds to

case (i) of the lemma with $\lambda > 0$. Of course in this case a, b, c are not all equal, otherwise G could be extended to A_4 .

If $G \subset SO(2)$, then at least one generator is not of order 2. So S has an invariant plane, which must be the plane of $SO(2)$ -rotation. Therefore, G can be extended to $SO(2)$.

If $G \subset SO(3)$, we have $K = 0$ and the symmetry is completely determined by S . With respect to some orthonormal basis S is diagonal. It is easy to see that G is finite if and only if S has three distinct eigenvalues, which corresponds to case (i) of the lemma with $\lambda = 0$. $\square$

Case 3. Let G be infinite or continuous. Then we have $SO(2) \subseteq G \subseteq SO(3)$ and we get the following canonical forms with isotropy group G :

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an infinite subgroup of $SO(3)$. There exists an orthonormal basis of V such that either*

(i)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & & \\ & -\lambda & \\ & & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda > 0$. K and S are preserved by $G = SO(2)$; or

(ii)

$$K = 0, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a \neq b$. K and S are preserved by $G = Z_2 \times SO(2)$; or

(iii)

$$K = 0, \quad S = aI.$$

K and S are preserved by $G = SO(3)$.

Proof. If $G = SO(2)$, then we have case (i) immediately. Otherwise $G \subseteq SO(3)$ and $K = 0$. With respect to some orthonormal basis S is diagonal. This gives the cases (ii) and (iii) immediately. $\square$

As a conclusion we have

Theorem 2.2. *Let (V, h) be a 3-dimensional Euclidean space, endowed with a symmetric endomorphism S and a symmetric $(2,1)$ -form K with $\text{trace } K_X = 0$ for all $X \in V$. Assume that K and S are preserved by a subgroup G of the isometry group $SO(3)$ of (V, h) . Then G is isomorphic to either $SO(3)$, $Z_2 \times SO(2)$, $SO(2)$, A_4 , S_3 , $Z_2 \times Z_2$, Z_3 or Z_2 . With respect to some suitable orthonormal basis in V , we get the following canonical forms for K and S :*

(i)

$$K = 0, \quad S = aI,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $SO(3)$;

(ii)

$$K = 0, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq b,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 \times SO(2)$, which consists of any rotation on yz -plane and P_2 ;

(iii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $SO(2)$, which consists of any rotation on yz -plane,

(iv)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = aI, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $A_4 = \langle P_1, P_2, Q \rangle$;

(v)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = 0,$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $S_3 = \langle P_1, R_3 \rangle$;

(vi)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either a, b, c are not all equal when $\lambda > 0$ or a, b, c are distinct when $\lambda = 0$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 \times Z_2 = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$;

(vii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either $\lambda > 0, \mu > 0$ and $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$, or $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda > 0$ and $a \neq b$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_3 = \langle R_1 \rangle$;

(viii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ 0 & \mu & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & -\lambda \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & d \\ 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$, or $\lambda = \mu > 0$ and $(b-c)^2 + (b+c-2a-2d)^2 \neq 0$, or $\lambda = 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $d \neq 0$, or $\mu = 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $(b-c)^2 + d^2 \neq 0$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 = \langle P_1 \rangle$.

According to Theorem 2.2 we have

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p \in M^3$ and assume that there exists an orientation preserving isometry which preserves K and S at p . Then there exists an orthonormal basis of $T_p M^3$ such that either:*

(i)

$$K = 0, \quad S = aI,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $SO(3)$;

(ii)

$$K = 0, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq b,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 \times SO(2)$, which consists of any rotation on yz -plane and P_2 ;

(iii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $SO(2)$, which consists of any rotation on yz -plane,

(iv)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = aI, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $A_4 = \langle P_1, P_2, Q \rangle$;

(v)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = 0,$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in this case K and S are preserved by $S_3 = \langle P_1, R_3 \rangle$;

(vi)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either a, b, c are not all equal when $\lambda > 0$ or a, b, c are distinct when $\lambda = 0$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 \times Z_2 = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$;

(vii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either $\lambda > 0, \mu > 0$ and $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$, or $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda > 0$ and $a \neq b$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_3 = \langle R_1 \rangle$;

(viii)

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ 0 & \mu & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & \mu \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & -\lambda \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & d \\ 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either $\lambda > 0, \mu > 0$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$, or $\lambda = \mu > 0$ and $(b-c)^2 + (b+c-2a-2d)^2 \neq 0$, or $\lambda = 0, \mu > 0$ and $d \neq 0$, or $\mu = 0, \lambda > 0$ and $(b-c)^2 + d^2 \neq 0$; in this case K and S are preserved by $Z_2 = \langle P_1 \rangle$.

3. HYPERSURFACES ADMITTING S_3 - OR $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -SYMMETRY

In the following, we will assume that M^3 admits a pointwise G -symmetry, i. e. that at every point h , K and S are preserved by the group G .

By Corollary 2.3 we can not have a pointwise $Z_2 \times SO(2)$ -symmetry, since the vanishing of K implies that M^3 is a quadric and thus $S = aI$. In [11], a complete classification of three-dimensional positive definite affine hyperspheres admitting a pointwise G -symmetry was obtained. Since pointwise Z_2 -symmetry is a rather weak assumption, in this case the author just got a completely integrable system, which in general can not be solved explicitly. So we will omit this case in the following classification.

Hence, due to Corollary 2.3, in the further we will only study the cases of pointwise $SO(2)$ -, S_3 -, $Z_2 \times Z_2$ - and Z_3 -symmetry. In the rest of this section we will show that a hypersurface M^3 with $G = S_3$ must be a hypersphere, and then give a classification for $G = Z_2 \times Z_2$.

A short computation shows that, for $G = S_3$, K and S are commutable, i. e. $K(SX, Y) = K(X, SY) = SK(X, Y)$. So $\widehat{\nabla}S$ is symmetric and consequently M^3 is a hypersphere (h is positive definite) (cf. [7]).

Now let $G = Z_2 \times Z_2 = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$, therefore at every point, we can find an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that K and S are in canonical form (Case (vi) in Corollary 2.3). We want to extend the basis locally.

Lemma 3.1. *If M^3 admits a pointwise $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -symmetry, then there exists locally an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that*

$$K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{pmatrix},$$

in which either a, b, c are not all equal when $\lambda > 0$ or a, b, c are distinct when $\lambda = 0$.

Proof. Let $p \in M^3$. If S has three distinct eigenvalues, then there exists an open neighborhood U_p , in which we can select $\{e_i\}$ as the smooth unit eigenvector fields, and S has at any point of U_p three distinct eigenvalues. Moreover, difference tensor and shape operator are of the form above.

If, in a neighborhood of p , S has only two distinct eigenvalues, we first define the 1-dimensional unit normal eigenvector field as e_3 . Let $F_3 = e_3$, and F_1, F_2 be any smooth orthonormal vector fields in the 2-dimensional eigendistribution. At any point q in such neighborhood, we have $\{e_i\}|_q$ defined pointwisely as above, and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \cos \tau e_1 + \sin \tau e_2, \\ F_2 &= -\sin \tau e_1 + \cos \tau e_2, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau(q)$. Thus, with respect to $\{F_i\}$

$$K_{F_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sin 2\tau\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2\tau\lambda \\ \sin 2\tau\lambda & \cos 2\tau\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\lambda > 0$, we can define a smooth function τ via

$$\sin 2\tau \cdot h(K_{F_1} F_2, F_3) = \cos 2\tau \cdot h(K_{F_1} F_1, F_3),$$

and the orthonormal frame

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \cos \tau F_1 - \sin \tau F_2, \\ e_2 &= \sin \tau F_1 + \cos \tau F_2, \\ e_3 &= F_3. \end{aligned}$$

will have the properties we were looking for. $\square$

Let $\widehat{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j = \varphi_{ij}^k e_k$ be the Levi-Civita connection. Obviously, $\varphi_{ij}^k = -\varphi_{ik}^j$, and $\varphi_{ij}^j = 0$. It is well known, that we obtain the following Codazzi equations for C from the fundamental equations (1.4)–(1.7):

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad (\widehat{\nabla}_X C)(Y, Z, W) - (\widehat{\nabla}_Y C)(X, Z, W) &= h(X, Z)h(SY, W) \\ &\quad - h(Y, Z)h(SX, W) + h(SY, Z)h(X, W) - h(SX, Z)h(Y, W) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating this formula provides

$$\varphi_{ij}^k = 0, \quad \text{if } \{i, j, k\} \neq \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$(3.2) \quad b - a = 4\lambda(\varphi_{12}^3 - \varphi_{21}^3),$$

$$(3.3) \quad c - a = 4\lambda(\varphi_{13}^2 - \varphi_{31}^2),$$

$$(3.4) \quad c - b = 4\lambda(\varphi_{23}^1 - \varphi_{32}^1),$$

$$(3.5) \quad e_1(\lambda) = e_2(\lambda) = e_3(\lambda) = 0.$$

So adding (3.2) and (3.4) and subtracting (3.3) yields $\varphi_{13}^2 + \varphi_{32}^1 - \varphi_{23}^1 = 0$. Let $\varphi_{13}^2 = \alpha$, $\varphi_{32}^1 = \beta$, $\varphi_{21}^3 = \gamma$, we therefore obtain $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, and

$$(3.6) \quad b - a = 4\lambda\beta, \quad a - c = 4\lambda\gamma, \quad c - b = 4\lambda\alpha;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 &= -\alpha e_3, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 &= \alpha e_2, \\
\widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= \gamma e_3, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 &= 0, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 &= -\gamma e_1, \\
\widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= -\beta e_2, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 &= \beta e_1, & \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From the fundamental equations (1.4)–(1.7) we also get the Gauss equations for $\widehat{R}$:

$$(3.7) \quad \widehat{R}(X, Y)Z = \frac{1}{2}\{h(Y, Z)SX - h(X, Z)SY + h(SY, Z)X - h(SX, Z)Y\} - [K_X, K_Y]Z,$$

in which $\widehat{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\widehat{\nabla}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_1, e_2] &= \beta e_3, & [e_3, e_1] &= \gamma e_2, & [e_2, e_3] &= \alpha e_1; \\
[K_{e_1}, K_{e_2}] &= K_{e_1}K_{e_2} - K_{e_2}K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda^2 & 0 \\ \lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[K_{e_1}, K_{e_3}] &= K_{e_1}K_{e_3} - K_{e_3}K_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[K_{e_2}, K_{e_3}] &= K_{e_2}K_{e_3} - K_{e_3}K_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating $\widehat{R}(e_1, e_2)e_1$ resp. $\widehat{R}(e_1, e_2)e_2$ resp. $\widehat{R}(e_1, e_2)e_3$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1(\gamma) &= 0, & e_2(\alpha) &= 0, \\
(3.8) \quad -\gamma\alpha - \beta^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(a + b) + \lambda^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{e_i\}$ and α, β, γ admit cyclic symmetry, we get from (3.7) furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1(\beta) &= e_3(\alpha) = e_2(\beta) = e_3(\gamma) = 0, \\
(3.9) \quad -\alpha\beta - \gamma^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(a + c) + \lambda^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.10) \quad -\beta\gamma - \alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2}(b + c) + \lambda^2.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If M^3 admits a pointwise $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -symmetry, then*

$$\alpha = b = c = 0, \quad \lambda = \beta = -\gamma = \text{const.} \neq 0, \quad a = -4\lambda^2.$$

Proof. Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, we know that α, β, γ are constant; (3.5) shows that λ is constant, too. By (3.6), (3.8)–(3.10) we can express a, b, c in terms of α, β, γ and λ . Therefore, they are all constant. From the difference of (3.8) and (3.10), resp. from (3.6), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \beta\gamma + \alpha^2 - \gamma\alpha - \beta^2 = \frac{1}{2}(a - c) = 2\lambda\gamma,$$

and similar from the difference of (3.10) and (3.9) we get

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha\beta + \gamma^2 - \beta\gamma - \alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2}(b - a) = 2\lambda\beta.$$

If we multiply (3.11) by β and (3.12) by γ the resulting equations are equal, thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\alpha\beta\gamma + \gamma^3 + \beta^3 - \beta\gamma^2 - \alpha^2\gamma - \alpha^2\beta - \beta^2\gamma \\ &= 2\alpha\beta\gamma + \gamma(\gamma^2 - \alpha^2) + \beta(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - (\beta\gamma^2 + \beta^2\gamma) \\ &= 2\alpha\beta\gamma + \beta\gamma(\alpha - \gamma) + \beta\gamma(\alpha - \beta) - \beta\gamma(\beta + \gamma) \\ &= 2\alpha\beta\gamma + \beta\gamma(\alpha + \alpha) - 2\beta\gamma(\beta + \gamma) \\ &= 6\alpha\beta\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

If necessary, we can change the label of $\{e_i\}$, so that $\alpha = 0$. From (3.6), $b = c$, and since M^3 is not a hypersphere, we have $\beta = -\gamma \neq 0$. Substituting into (3.11) we get $\lambda = \beta$, then (3.10) yields $b = c = 0$. Finally, $a = -4\lambda^2$ comes from (3.11). $\square$

Therefore, we have the motion equations with respect to $\{e_i\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{e_1}e_1 &= \xi \\ D_{e_1}e_2 &= \lambda e_3 \\ D_{e_1}e_3 &= \lambda e_2 \\ D_{e_2}e_1 &= 0 \\ D_{e_3}e_1 &= 0 \\ D_{e_2}e_2 &= \xi \\ D_{e_2}e_3 &= 2\lambda e_1 \\ D_{e_3}e_2 &= 2\lambda e_1 \\ D_{e_3}e_3 &= \xi \\ D_{e_1}\xi &= 4\lambda^2 e_1 \\ D_{e_2}\xi &= 0 \\ D_{e_3}\xi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 = 0$, locally we can choose a coordinate t , such that $e_1 = 2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. If we define two linear independent vector fields

$$U = \frac{1}{2\lambda}e^{\frac{t}{2}}(e_2 - e_3), \quad V = \frac{1}{2\lambda}e^{-\frac{t}{2}}(e_2 + e_3),$$

then it is easy to verify that

$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, U] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V] = [U, V] = 0.$$

Thus there exist coordinates $\{u, v\}$, such that

$$U = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad V = \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

and the motion equations in local coordinates $\{t, u, v\}$ are

$$(3.13) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \xi,$$

$$(3.14) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = 0,$$

$$(3.15) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = -2e^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{e^t}{2\lambda^2} \xi,$$

$$(3.16) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial v}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = 2e^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{e^t}{2\lambda^2} \xi,$$

$$(3.17) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = 0,$$

$$(3.18) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \xi = 4\lambda^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

$$(3.19) \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \xi = D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial v}} \xi = 0.$$

We can integrate these equations. If we denote the affine hypersurface by $F(t, u, v) : N \subset M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$, then (3.14) implies that F_t only depends on t , so does ξ by (3.19). By (3.18) and (3.13) we get for F_t that $(F_t)_{tt} = (F_{tt})_t = F_t$. So $F_t = e^t \mathbf{v}_1 + e^{-t} \mathbf{v}_2$, in which $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^4$, i. e.

$$F = e^t \mathbf{v}_1 - e^{-t} \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{G}(u, v),$$

and

$$\xi = 4\lambda^2 e^t \mathbf{v}_1 - 4\lambda^2 e^{-t} \mathbf{v}_2.$$

From (3.15)-(3.17) we obtain the following differential equations for $\mathbf{G}$: $\mathbf{G}_{uu} = -4\mathbf{v}_2$, $\mathbf{G}_{vv} = 4\mathbf{v}_1$, $\mathbf{G}_{uv} = 0$, and the solution

$$\mathbf{G}(u, v) = 2v^2 \mathbf{v}_1 - 2u^2 \mathbf{v}_2 + v \mathbf{v}_3 + u \mathbf{v}_4 + \mathbf{v}_5.$$

Hence,

$$F = (e^t + 2v^2) \mathbf{v}_1 - (e^{-t} + 2u^2) \mathbf{v}_2 + v \mathbf{v}_3 + u \mathbf{v}_4 + \mathbf{v}_5.$$

Note that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ and $\mathbf{v}_4$ are linear independent since $\det(F_t, F_u, F_v, \xi) \neq 0$. By an affine transformation we can translate $\mathbf{v}_5$ to zero and map $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4$ to $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, -1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 2, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, 2)$, resp., and obtain

$$F(t, u, v) = (e^t + 2v^2, e^{-t} + 2u^2, 2v, 2u).$$

Thus we obtain

Theorem 3.1. *$F : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is a locally strictly convex affine hypersurface admitting pointwise $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -symmetry, if and only if it is affine equivalent to*

$$(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_3^2)(x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_4^2) = 1.$$

Remark. The affine hypersurface above is one of the two types of locally homogeneous affine hypersurfaces with rank one shape operator, classified in [3].

4. HYPERSURFACES ADMITTING $SO(2)$ - OR Z_3 -SYMMETRY

What is left, is the classification of hypersurfaces admitting pointwise $SO(2)$ - or Z_3 -symmetry. This will be done as follows. Let M^3 be an affine hypersurface admitting pointwise $SO(2)$ - or Z_3 -symmetry. First, we will obtain information about the coefficients of the connection from the basic equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci. In particular, it follows that such a hypersurface M^3 admits a warped product structure. Then following essentially the same approach as in [12], we classify such hypersurfaces by showing how they can be constructed starting from 2-dimensional positive definite affine spheres.

Affine hyperspheres were classified in [12], thus we could exclude them in the following. Anyhow, it is not much harder to handle the general case.

4.1. Structure equations and integrability conditions.

4.1.1 An adapted frame.

We recall from Corollary 2.3, Case (iii) and (vii): At every point p of M^3 there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ which is orthonormal with respect to the affine metric h such that the difference tensor K and the shape operator S are respectively given by:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{e_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, & K_{e_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, \\ K_{e_3} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & S &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, μ vanishes if and only if the symmetry group is $SO(2)$, i.e. the form of K and S remains invariant under rotations in the e_2e_3 -plane. In case that μ is different from zero, the group Z_3 of rotations leaving K and S invariant is generated by R_1 . Moreover, if $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda$, then $a \neq b$. We want to extend this basis differentiably.

We define the Ricci tensor of the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ by:

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(X, Y) = \text{trace}\{Z \mapsto \widehat{R}(Z, X)Y\}.$$

It is well known that $\widehat{\text{Ric}}$ is a symmetric operator. Then, we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \in M^3$ and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ the basis constructed earlier. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_1, e_1) &= (a + b) + 6\lambda^2, & \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_1, e_2) &= 0, \\ \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_3, e_1) &= 0, & \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_2, e_2) &= \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{3}{2}b + 2(\lambda^2 + \mu^2), \\ \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_2, e_3) &= 0, & \widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_3, e_3) &= \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{3}{2}b + 2(\lambda^2 + \mu^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the Gauss equation (3.6) for $\widehat{R}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(a + b)e_2 - K_{e_2}(2\lambda e_1) + K_{e_1}(-\lambda e_2) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}(a + b) + 3\lambda^2)e_2, \\ \widehat{R}(e_3, e_1)e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(a + b)e_3 - K_{e_3}(2\lambda e_1) + K_{e_1}(-\lambda e_3) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}(a + b) + 3\lambda^2)e_3, \\ \widehat{R}(e_3, e_1)e_2 &= -K_{e_3}(-\lambda e_2) + K_{e_1}(-\mu e_3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this it immediately follows that

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_1, e_1) = (a + b) + 6\lambda^2$$

and

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

The other equations follow by similar computations. $\square$

Now, we want to show that the basis we have constructed at each point p can be extended differentiably to a neighborhood of the point p such that at every point the components of S and K with respect to the frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ have the previously described form.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M^3 be an affine hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which admits a pointwise Z_3 -symmetry or a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry. Let $p \in M$. Then there exists a frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ defined in a neighborhood of the point p such that the components of K and S are respectively given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{e_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, & K_{e_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, \\ K_{e_3} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu \\ -\lambda & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & S &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we want to show that at every point the vector e_1 is uniquely defined and differentiable. We introduce a symmetric operator $\hat{A}$ by:

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(Y, Z) = h(\hat{A}Y, Z).$$

Clearly $\hat{A}$ is a differentiable operator on M . On the set of points where $\frac{1}{2}(a-b) + 4\lambda^2 - 2\mu^2 \neq 0$, the operator has two distinct eigenvalues. The eigendirection which corresponds with the 1-dimensional eigenvalue corresponds with the vector field e_1 .

On the set of points where $\frac{1}{2}(a-b) + 4\lambda^2 - 2\mu^2 = 0$, we can assume that $a \neq b$. (If $a = b$ then $\mu \neq \sqrt{2}\lambda$ or $\mu = 0$ by Corollary 2.3.) In this case, the differentiable operator S has two distinct eigenvalues (cf. (4.1)) and e_1 is uniquely determined as the eigendirection corresponding to the 1-dimensional eigenvalue. This shows that taking at every point p the vector e_1 yields a differentiable vector field.

To show that e_2 and e_3 can be extended differentially, we consider two cases. First we assume that M admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry. In that case we have that $\mu = 0$ and we take for e_2 and e_3 arbitrary orthonormal differentiable local vector fields which are orthogonal to the vector field e_1 . In case that M admits a pointwise Z_3 -symmetry we proceed as follows. We start by taking arbitrary orthonormal differentiable local vector fields u_2 and u_3 which are orthogonal to the vector field e_1 . It is then straightforward to check that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} K_{u_2}u_2 &= -\lambda e_1 + \nu_1 u_2 + \nu_2 u_3 \\ K_{u_2}u_3 &= \nu_2 u_2 - \nu_1 u_3 \\ K_{u_3}u_3 &= -\lambda e_1 - \nu_1 u_2 - \nu_2 u_3 \end{aligned}$$

differentiable functions ν_1 and ν_2 with $\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 \neq 0$. Therefore, if necessary by interchanging the role of u_2 and u_3 , we may assume that in a neighborhood of the point p , $\nu_1 \neq 0$. Rotating now over an angle θ , thus defining

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 &= \cos \theta u_2 + \sin \theta u_3, \\ e_3 &= -\sin \theta u_2 + \cos \theta u_3, \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} h(K(e_2, e_2), e_2) &= (\cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \nu_1 + (-\sin^3 \theta + 3 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta) \nu_2 \\ &= \cos 3\theta \nu_1 + \sin 3\theta \nu_2 \\ h(K(e_3, e_3), e_3) &= (-\sin^3 \theta + 3 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta) \nu_1 + (-\cos^3 \theta + 3 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \nu_2 \\ &= \sin 3\theta \nu_1 - \cos 3\theta \nu_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking into account the symmetries of K , in order to obtain the desired frame, it is sufficient to choose θ in such a way that

$$\sin 3\theta \nu_1 - \cos 3\theta \nu_2 = 0,$$

and $\cos 3\theta\nu_1 + \sin 3\theta\nu_2 > 0$. As this is always possible, the proof is completed. $\square$

Remark. It actually follows from the proof of the previous lemma that the vector field e_1 is globally defined on M^3 , and therefore the function λ , too. This in turn implies that the functions μ (as it can be expressed in terms of λ and the Pick invariant J (cf. [9], Prop. 9.3) and J is either identically zero or nowhere zero), a and b (as it can be expressed in terms of the mean curvature and a , cf. [9], Def. 3.4) are globally defined functions on the affine hypersurface M^3 .

From now on we always will work with the local frame constructed in the previous lemma. We introduce the connection coefficients with respect to this frame by $\widehat{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 \varphi_{ij}^k e_k$, as we did before, and have the usual symmetries.

4.1.2 Codazzi equations for K .

An evaluation of the Codazzi equations for K (cp.(3.1)) using the CAS mathematica (cf. [10]) results in the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & e_2(\lambda) = 2\lambda\varphi_{11}^2, & (eq.1) \\
 (4.3) \quad & \mu\varphi_{11}^3 = 4\lambda\varphi_{21}^3, & (eq.1) \\
 (4.4) \quad & e_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(b-a) - \mu\varphi_{11}^2 - 4\lambda\varphi_{21}^2, & (eq.1) \\
 (4.5) \quad & e_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \mu\varphi_{11}^2 - 4\lambda\varphi_{31}^3, & (eq.2) \\
 (4.6) \quad & e_3(\lambda) = 2\lambda\varphi_{11}^3, & (eq.2) \\
 (4.7) \quad & \mu\varphi_{11}^3 = 4\lambda\varphi_{31}^2, & (eq.2) \\
 (4.8) \quad & e_1(\mu) + e_2(\lambda) = 3\lambda\varphi_{11}^2 - \mu\varphi_{21}^2, & (eq.3) \\
 (4.9) \quad & 0 = -\lambda\varphi_{11}^3 + 3\mu\varphi_{12}^3 - \mu\varphi_{21}^3, & (eq.3) \\
 (4.10) \quad & e_3(\lambda) = -\mu(\varphi_{21}^3 + \varphi_{31}^2), & (eq.4) \\
 (4.11) \quad & e_3(\mu) = 3\mu\varphi_{22}^3 - \lambda(\varphi_{21}^3 - 3\varphi_{31}^2), & (eq.4) \\
 (4.12) \quad & e_2(\mu) = -\lambda(\varphi_{21}^2 - \varphi_{31}^3) - 3\mu\varphi_{32}^3, & (eq.4) \\
 (4.13) \quad & e_1(\mu) = -\lambda\varphi_{11}^2 - \mu\varphi_{31}^3, & (eq.5) \\
 (4.14) \quad & e_3(\lambda) = 3\lambda\varphi_{11}^3 + \mu(3\varphi_{12}^3 + \varphi_{31}^2), & (eq.5) \\
 (4.15) \quad & e_2(\lambda) = \mu(\varphi_{21}^2 - \varphi_{31}^3), & (eq.6) \\
 (4.16) \quad & e_3(\mu) = 3\mu\varphi_{22}^3 + \lambda(3\varphi_{21}^3 - \varphi_{31}^2), & (eq.6) \\
 (4.17) \quad & e_2(\lambda) - e_1(\mu) = \lambda\varphi_{11}^2 + \mu\varphi_{21}^2, & (eq.7) \\
 (4.18) \quad & 4\lambda(\varphi_{21}^3 - \varphi_{31}^2) = 0, & (eq.8) \\
 (4.19) \quad & e_3(\lambda) = \lambda\varphi_{11}^3 - \mu(3\varphi_{12}^3 + \varphi_{31}^2). & (eq.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions, the equation numbers refer to corresponding equations in the mathematica program. In order to simplify the above equations, we now distinct two cases.

Lemma 4.3. *An evaluation of the Codazzi equations for K gives:*

$$\varphi_{11}^2 = 0, \quad \varphi_{11}^3 = 0, \quad \varphi_{21}^3 = 0, \quad \varphi_{31}^2 = 0, \quad \varphi_{21}^2 = \varphi_{31}^3 =: \eta,$$

$$e_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(b-a) - 4\lambda\eta, \quad e_2(\lambda) = 0 = e_3(\lambda).$$

If $\mu \neq 0$, we get in addition that $\varphi_{12}^3 = 0$ and

$$(4.20) \quad e_1(\mu) = -\mu\eta, \quad e_2(\mu) = -3\mu\varphi_{32}^3, \quad e_3(\mu) = 3\mu\varphi_{22}^3.$$

Proof. First, we assume that $\mu = 0$ (thus $\lambda \neq 0$). In that case, it follows from (4.3) (resp. (4.7)) that $\varphi_{21}^3 = 0$ (resp. $\varphi_{31}^2 = 0$), whereas (4.12) implies that $\varphi_{21}^2 = \varphi_{31}^3$. As it now follows from (4.10) and (4.15) that $e_2(\lambda) = e_3(\lambda) = 0$, (4.2) and (4.6) imply that $\varphi_{11}^2 = \varphi_{11}^3 = 0$. Finally (4.5) now reduces to

$$e_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(b - a) - 4\lambda\varphi_{21}^2.$$

Next, we want to deal with the case that $\mu \neq 0$. First it follows from (4.2), (4.15) and (4.8), taking also into account (4.13), that

$$e_2(\lambda) = 2\lambda\varphi_{11}^2 = \mu(\varphi_{21}^2 - \varphi_{31}^3) = 4\lambda\varphi_{11}^2 - \mu(\varphi_{21}^2 - \varphi_{31}^3).$$

Therefore we get by (4.4) and (4.5) that $\varphi_{21}^2 = \varphi_{31}^3$ and thus $e_2(\lambda) = 0 = \varphi_{11}^2$. From (4.10) and (4.6) it follows that

$$e_3(\lambda) = -\mu(\varphi_{21}^3 + \varphi_{31}^2) = 2\lambda\varphi_{11}^3.$$

From (4.18), (4.3) and the previous equation it follows that $\varphi_{21}^3 = \varphi_{31}^2 = \varphi_{11}^3 = 0$ and $e_3(\lambda) = 0$. From (4.9) it then follows that $\varphi_{12}^3 = 0$. From (4.8), (4.12) and (4.11) we obtain the equations for $e_i(\mu)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and from (4.4) it follows that

$$e_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(b - a) - 4\lambda\varphi_{21}^2. \quad \square$$

As a direct consequence we write down the Levi-Civita connection:

Lemma 4.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 &= \varphi_{12}^3 e_3, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 &= -\varphi_{12}^3 e_2, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= \eta e_2, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 &= -\eta e_1 + \varphi_{22}^3 e_3, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 &= -\varphi_{22}^3 e_2, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= \eta e_3, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 &= \varphi_{32}^3 e_3, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 &= -\eta e_1 - \varphi_{32}^3 e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where in case that $\mu \neq 0$, we have in addition that $\varphi_{12}^3 = 0$.

4.1.3 Gauss for ∇ .

Taking into account the previous results, we then proceed with an evaluation of the Gauss equations (1.4) for ∇ :

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z = h(Y, Z)SX - h(X, Z)SY,$$

again using the CAS mathematica (cf. [10]). This results amongst others in the following equations (cf. equations 11, 13, 14 and 16 in the mathematica program):

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\eta) &= -\eta^2 - 3\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a + b), \\ e_2(\eta) &= 0, \\ e_3(\eta) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.1.4 Codazzi for S .

An evaluation of the Codazzi equations (1.6) for S :

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y) = (\nabla_Y S)(X)$$

by mathematica (cf. [10], equations 20 - 22)) then yields:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(b) &= (\lambda - \eta)(b - a), \\ e_2(b) &= 0, \\ e_3(b) &= 0, \\ e_2(a) &= 0, \\ e_3(a) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.1.5 Structure equations.

Summarized we have obtained the structure equations (cf. (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3)):

$$\begin{aligned} (4.21) \quad D_{e_1} e_1 &= 2\lambda e_1 + \xi, \\ (4.22) \quad D_{e_1} e_2 &= -\lambda e_2 + \varphi_{12}^3 e_3, \\ (4.23) \quad D_{e_1} e_3 &= -\varphi_{12}^3 e_2 - \lambda e_3, \\ (4.24) \quad D_{e_2} e_1 &= (\eta - \lambda) e_2, \\ (4.25) \quad D_{e_3} e_1 &= (\eta - \lambda) e_3, \\ (4.26) \quad D_{e_2} e_2 &= -(\eta + \lambda) e_1 + \mu e_2 + \varphi_{22}^3 e_3 + \xi, \\ (4.27) \quad D_{e_2} e_3 &= -\varphi_{22}^3 e_2 - \mu e_3, \\ (4.28) \quad D_{e_3} e_2 &= (\varphi_{32}^3 - \mu) e_3, \\ (4.29) \quad D_{e_3} e_3 &= -(\eta + \lambda) e_1 - (\varphi_{32}^3 - \mu) e_2 + \xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.30) \quad D_{e_1} \xi = -a e_1,$$

$$(4.31) \quad D_{e_2} \xi = -b e_2,$$

$$(4.32) \quad D_{e_3} \xi = -b e_3,$$

Moreover, the functions a , b , λ and η are all constant in the e_2 - and e_3 -directions and the e_1 -derivatives are determined by (cf. Subsection 4.1.3 and 4.1.2 and Lemma 4.3):

$$(4.33) \quad e_1(b) = (\lambda - \eta)(b - a),$$

$$(4.34) \quad e_1(\eta) = -\eta^2 - 3\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a + b),$$

$$(4.35) \quad e_1(\lambda) = -4\lambda\eta - \frac{1}{2}(a - b).$$

4.2. The classification.

As the vector field e_1 is globally defined, we can define the distributions $H_1 = \text{Span}\{e_1\}$ and $H_2 = \text{Span}\{e_2, e_3\}$. In the next lemmas we will investigate some properties of these distributions following from Lemma 4.4. For the terminology we refer to [8].

Lemma 4.5. *The distribution H_1 is autoparallel with respect to $\widehat{\nabla}$.*

Proof. From $\widehat{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 = 0$ the claim follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *The distribution H_2 is spherical with mean curvature normal $H = -\eta e_1$.*

Proof. For $H = -\eta e_1 \in H_1 = H_2^\perp$ we have $h(\widehat{\nabla}_{e_a} e_b, e_1) = h(e_a, e_b)h(H, e_1)$ for all $a, b \in \{2, 3\}$, and $h(\widehat{\nabla}_{e_a} H, e_1) = h(-e_a(\eta)e_1 - \eta\widehat{\nabla}_{e_a} e_1, e_1) = 0$. $\square$

Remark. $\eta (= \varphi_{21}^2 = \varphi_{31}^3)$ is independent of the particular choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_2, e_3\}$. It therefore is a globally defined function on M^3 .

We introduce a coordinate function t by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} := e_1$. Using the previous lemma, according to [5], we get:

Lemma 4.7. *(M, h) admits a warped product structure $M^3 = \mathbb{R} \times_{e^f} N^2$ with $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$(4.36) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \eta.$$

Remark. b, η and λ are functions of t , they satisfy by (4.33), (4.34) and (4.35):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} &= (\lambda - \eta)(b - a), \\ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} &= -\eta^2 - 3\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a + b), \\ \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} &= -4\eta\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(b - a). \end{aligned}$$

To compute the curvature of N^2 we use the gauss equation (3.6) and obtain:

$$(4.37) \quad K(N^2) = e^{2f}(b - \lambda^2 + 2\mu^2 + \eta^2),$$

which we verify by a straightforward computation is indeed independent of t .

Our first goal is to find out how N^2 is immersed in $\mathbb{R}^4$, i. e. to find an immersion independent of t . A look at the structure equations (4.21) - (4.32) suggests to start with a linear combination of e_1 and ξ .

We will solve the problem in two steps. First we define $v := Ae_1 + \xi$ for some function A on M^3 . Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v = cv$ iff $c = A$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A = A^2 - 2\lambda A + a$, and $A := -(\eta + \lambda)$ solves the latter differential equation. Next we define a positive function τ on $\mathbb{R}$ as solution of the differential equation:

$$(4.38) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = \tau(\eta + \lambda)$$

with initial condition $\tau(t_0) > 0$. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\tau v) = 0$ and by (4.24), (4.31), (4.25) and (4.32) we get (since τ, η and λ only depend on t):

$$(4.39) \quad D_{e_1}(\tau(-(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi)) = 0,$$

$$(4.40) \quad D_{e_2}(\tau(-(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi)) = -\tau(b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)e_2,$$

$$(4.41) \quad D_{e_3}(\tau(-(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi)) = -\tau(b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)e_3.$$

Lemma 4.8. *Define $\nu := b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2$ on $\mathbb{R}$. ν is globally defined, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{2f}\nu) = 0$ and ν vanishes identically or nowhere on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since $0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(N^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{2f}(\nu + 2\mu^2))$ (cf. (4.20) and (4.36)) and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{2f}2\mu^2) = 0$, we get that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{2f}\nu) = 0$. Thus $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nu = -2(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f)\nu = -2\eta\nu$. $\square$

Now we consider different cases depending on the behaviour of ν .

4.2.1 The first case: $\nu \neq 0$ on M^3

We may, by translating f , i.e. by replacing N^2 with a homothetic copy of itself, assume that $e^{2f}\nu = \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

Lemma 4.9. $\Phi := \tau(-(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi): M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ induces a proper affine sphere structure, say $\tilde{\phi}$, mapping N^2 into a 3-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^4$. $\tilde{\phi}$ is part of a quadric iff $\mu = 0$.

Proof. By (4.40) and (4.41) we have $\Phi_*(e_i) = -\tau\nu e_i$ for $i = 2, 3$. A further differentiation, using (4.26) (τ and ν only depend on t), gives:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{e_2}\Phi_*(e_2) &= -\tau(b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)D_{e_2}e_2 \\ &= -\tau(b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)(-(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \mu e_2 + \varphi_{22}^3 e_3 + \xi) \\ &= \mu\Phi_*(e_2) + \varphi_{22}^3\Phi_*(e_3) - (b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)\Phi \\ &= \mu\Phi_*(e_2) + \varphi_{22}^3\Phi_*(e_3) - \epsilon e^{-2f}\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the other derivatives, using (4.27)-(4.29), thus:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{e_2}\Phi_*(e_2) &= \mu\Phi_*(e_2) + \varphi_{22}^3\Phi_*(e_3) - e^{-2f}\epsilon\Phi, \\ D_{e_2}\Phi_*(e_3) &= -\varphi_{22}^3\Phi_*(e_2) - \mu\Phi_*(e_3), \\ D_{e_3}\Phi_*(e_2) &= (\varphi_{32}^3 - \mu)\Phi_*(e_3), \\ D_{e_3}\Phi_*(e_3) &= -(\varphi_{32}^3 + \mu)\Phi_*(e_2) - e^{-2f}\epsilon\Phi, \\ D_{e_i}\Phi &= -\tau e^{-2f}\epsilon e_i, \\ &= \Phi_*(e_i), \quad i = 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

The foliation at $f = f_0$ gives an immersion of N^2 to M^3 , say π_{f_0} . Therefore, we can define an immersion of N^2 to $\mathbb{R}^4$ by $\tilde{\phi} := \Phi \circ \pi_{f_0}$, whose motion equations are exactly the equations above when $f = f_0$. Hence, we know actually $\tilde{\phi}$ maps N^2 into $\text{Span}\{\Phi_*(e_2), \Phi_*(e_3), \Phi\}$, an affine hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^4$. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi = 0$ implies $\tilde{\phi}(t, u, v) = \tilde{\phi}(u, v)$.

We can read off the coefficients of the difference tensor $K^{\tilde{\phi}}$ of $\tilde{\phi}$ (cf. (1.1) and (1.3)): $(K^{\tilde{\phi}})_{22}^2 = \mu$, $(K^{\tilde{\phi}})_{23}^3 = -\mu$, $(K^{\tilde{\phi}})_{22}^3 = 0 = (K^{\tilde{\phi}})_{33}^3$, and see that $\text{trace}(K^{\tilde{\phi}})_X$ vanishes. The affine metric introduced by this immersion corresponds with the metric on N^2 . Thus $-\epsilon\Phi$ is the affine normal of $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is a proper affine sphere with mean curvature ϵ . Finally the vanishing of the difference tensor characterizes quadrics. $\square$

Our next goal is to find another linear combination of e_1 and ξ , this time only depending on t . (Then we can express e_1 in terms of Φ and some function of t .)

Lemma 4.10. Define $\delta := be_1 + (\eta - \lambda)\xi$. Then there exist a constant vector $C \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and a function $g(t)$ such that

$$\delta(t) = g(t)C.$$

Proof. Using (4.24) and (4.31) resp. (4.25) and (4.32) we obtain that $D_{e_2}\delta = 0 = D_{e_3}\delta$. Hence δ depends only on the variable t . Moreover, we get by (4.33), (4.21), (4.34), (4.35) and (4.30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta &= D_{e_1}(be_1 + (\eta - \lambda)\xi) \\ &= (\lambda - \eta)(b - a)e_1 + 2b\lambda e_1 + b\xi - (\eta - \lambda)ae_1 \\ &\quad + (-\eta^2 - 3\lambda^2 - b + 4\lambda\eta)\xi \\ &= (3\lambda - \eta)(be_1 + (\eta - \lambda)\xi) \\ &= (3\lambda - \eta)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists a constant vector C in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and a function $g(t)$ such that $\delta(t) = g(t)C$. $\square$

Combining Φ and δ we obtain for e_1 (cf. Lemma 4.9 and 4.10) that

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} e_1(t, u, v) &= \frac{1}{\tau\nu}(\tau g C - (\eta - \lambda)\Phi(t, u, v)) \\ &= \frac{g}{\nu}C - \frac{1}{\tau\nu}(\eta - \lambda)\tilde{\phi}(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will use for the partial derivatives the abbreviation $F_x := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}F$, $x = t, u, v$.

Lemma 4.11.

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= \frac{g}{\nu}C - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\tau\nu}\right)\tilde{\phi}, \\ F_u &= -\frac{1}{\tau\nu}\tilde{\phi}_u, \\ F_v &= -\frac{1}{\tau\nu}\tilde{\phi}_v. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As by (4.38) and Lemma 4.8 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{1}{\tau\nu} = \frac{1}{\tau\nu}(\eta - \lambda)$, we obtain the equation for $F_t = e_1$ by (4.42). The other equations follow from (4.40) and (4.41). $\square$

It follows by the uniqueness theorem of first order differential equations and applying a translation that we can write

$$F(t, u, v) = \tilde{g}(t)C - \frac{1}{\tau\nu}(t)\tilde{\phi}(u, v)$$

for a suitable function $\tilde{g}$ depending only on the variable t . Since C is transversal to the image of $\tilde{\phi}$ (cf. Lemma 4.9 and 4.10), we obtain that after applying an equiaffine transformation we can write: $F(t, u, v) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)\phi(u, v))$, in which $\tilde{\phi}(u, v) = (0, \phi(u, v))$. Thus we have proven the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^3 be a positive definite affine hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ - or Z_3 -symmetry and with the globally defined function $(b + \eta^2 - \lambda^2)$ not identically zero on M^3 . Then M^3 is affine equivalent to*

$$F : I \times N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 : (t, u, v) \mapsto (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)\phi(u, v)),$$

where $\phi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an elliptic or hyperbolic affine sphere and $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve.

Moreover, if M^3 admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry then N^2 is either an ellipsoid or a hyperboloid.

In the next theorem we deal with the converse.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\phi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an elliptic or hyperbolic affine sphere (scaled such that the absolute value of the mean curvature equals 1). Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve such that*

$$F(t, u, v) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)\phi(u, v)),$$

defines a positive definite affine hypersurface. Then F admits a pointwise Z_3 - or $SO(2)$ -symmetry.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2\phi), \\ F_u &= (0, \gamma_2\phi_u), \\ F_v &= (0, \gamma_2\phi_v), \\ F_{tt} &= (\gamma''_1, \gamma''_2\phi) = \frac{(\gamma''_2\gamma'_1 - \gamma'_2\gamma''_1)}{\gamma'_1}(0, \phi) + \frac{\gamma''_1}{\gamma'_1}F_t, \\ F_{ut} &= \frac{\gamma'_2}{\gamma_2}F_u, \\ F_{vt} &= \frac{\gamma'_2}{\gamma_2}F_v, \\ F_{uu} &= (0, \gamma_1\phi_{uu}), \\ F_{uv} &= (0, \gamma_1\phi_{uv}), \\ F_{vv} &= (0, \gamma_1\phi_{vv}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that F defines a nondegenerate affine immersion provided that $\gamma_2\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') \neq 0$. We moreover see that this immersion is definite provided that the affine sphere is hyperbolic and $\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') > 0$ or when the proper affine sphere is elliptic and $\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') < 0$. As the proof in both cases is similar, we will only treat the first case here. An evaluation of the conditions for the affine normal ξ (ξ_t, ξ_u, ξ_v are tangential and $\det(F_t, F_u, F_v, \xi) = \sqrt{\det h}$) leads to:

$$\xi = \alpha(t)(0, \phi(u, v)) + \beta(t)F_t,$$

where $(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')\gamma_1^2 = \gamma_2^4(\gamma_1')^3\alpha^5$ and $\alpha' + \frac{\beta(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{\gamma_1} = 0$. Taking e_1 in the direction of F_t , we see that F_u and F_v are orthogonal to e_1 . It is also clear that S restricted to the space spanned by F_u and F_v is a multiple of the identity, and $S(F_t) = \alpha F_t$, since S is symmetric. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_u) &= \left(\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} - 2\frac{\gamma_2'}{\gamma_2}\right)h(F_u, F_u), \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_v) &= \left(\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} - 2\frac{\gamma_2'}{\gamma_2}\right)h(F_u, F_v), \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_v, F_v) &= \left(\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} - 2\frac{\gamma_2'}{\gamma_2}\right)h(F_v, F_v), \\ (\nabla h)(F_u, F_t, F_t) &= 0 = (\nabla h)(F_v, F_t, F_t), \end{aligned}$$

implying that K_{F_t} restricted to the space spanned by F_u and F_v is a multiple of the identity. Using the symmetries of K it now follows immediately that F admits an Z_3 -symmetry or an $SO(2)$ -symmetry. $\square$

4.2.2 The second case: $\nu \equiv 0$ and $\lambda \neq \eta$ on M^3

Next, we consider the case that $b = \lambda^2 - \eta^2$ and $\eta \neq \lambda$ on M^3 . Since by (4.35) and (4.34) $e_1(\eta - \lambda) = -\eta^2 - 3\lambda^2 - b + 4\lambda\eta = 4\lambda(\eta - \lambda)$ we see that $\eta \neq \lambda$ everywhere on M^3 or nowhere.

We already have seen that M^3 admits a warped product structure. The map Φ we have constructed in Lemma 4.9 will not define an immersion (cf. (4.40) and (4.41)). Anyhow, for a fixed point t_0 , we get from (4.26) - (4.29), (4.40) and (4.41), using the notation $\tilde{\xi} = -(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{e_2}e_2 &= \varphi_{22}^3e_3 + \mu e_2 + \tilde{\xi}, \\ D_{e_2}e_3 &= -\varphi_{22}^3e_2 - \mu e_3, \\ D_{e_3}e_2 &= \varphi_{33}^2e_3 - \mu e_3, \\ D_{e_3}e_3 &= \varphi_{33}^2e_2 - \mu e_2 + \tilde{\xi}, \\ D_{e_i}\tilde{\xi} &= 0, \quad i = 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if u and v are local coordinates which span the second distribution, then we can interpret $F(t_0, u, v)$ as an improper affine sphere in a 3-dimensional linear subspace.

Moreover, we see that this improper affine sphere is a paraboloid provided that μ at t_0 vanishes identically (as a function of u and v). From the differential equations (4.20) determining μ , we see that this is the case exactly when μ vanishes identically, i.e. when M admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry.

After applying a translation and a change of coordinates, we may assume that

$$F(t_0, u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v), 0),$$

with affine normal $\tilde{\xi}(t_0, u, v) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$. To obtain e_1 at t_0 , we consider (4.24) and (4.25) and get that

$$D_{e_i}(e_1 - (\eta - \lambda)F) = 0, \quad i = 2, 3.$$

Evaluating at $t = t_0$, this means that there exists a constant vector C such that $e_1(t_0, u, v) = (\eta - \lambda)(t_0)F(t_0, u, v) + C$. Since $\eta \neq \lambda$ everywhere, we can write:

$$(4.43) \quad e_1(t_0, u, v) = \alpha_1(u, v, f(u, v), \alpha_2),$$

where $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and we applied an equiaffine transformation so that $C = (0, 0, 0, \alpha_1 \alpha_2)$. To obtain information about $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_1$ we have that $D_{e_1} e_1 = 2\lambda e_1 + \xi$ (cf. (4.21)) and $\xi = \tilde{\xi} + (\eta + \lambda)e_1$ by the definition of $\tilde{\xi}$. Also we know that $\tilde{\xi}(t_0, u, v) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and by (4.39) - (4.41) that $D_{e_i}(\tau \tilde{\xi}) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Taking suitable initial conditions for the function τ ($\tau(t_0) = 1$), we get that $\tau \tilde{\xi} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and finally the following vector valued differential equation:

$$(4.44) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_1 = (\eta + 3\lambda)e_1 + \tau^{-1}(0, 0, 1, 0).$$

Solving this differential equation, taking into account the initial conditions (4.43) at $t = t_0$, we get that there exist functions δ_1 and δ_2 depending only on t such that

$$e_1(t, u, v) = (\delta_1(t)u, \delta_1(t)v, \delta_1(t)(f(u, v) + \delta_2(t)), \alpha_2 \delta_1(t)),$$

where $\delta_1(t_0) = \alpha_1$, $\delta_2(t_0) = 0$, $\delta_1'(t) = (\eta + 3\lambda)\delta_1(t)$ and $\delta_2'(t) = \delta_1^{-1}(t)\tau^{-1}(t)$. As $e_1(t, u, v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, u, v)$ and $F(t_0, u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v), 0)$ it follows by integration that

$$F(t, u, v) = (\gamma_1(t)u, \gamma_1(t)v, \gamma_1(t)f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \alpha_2(\gamma_1(t) - 1)),$$

where $\gamma_1'(t) = \delta_1(t)$, $\gamma_1(t_0) = 1$, $\gamma_2(t_0) = 0$ and $\gamma_2'(t) = \delta_1(t)\delta_2(t)$. After applying an affine transformation we have shown:

Theorem 4.3. *Let M^3 be a positive definite affine hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ - or Z_3 -symmetry and with the globally defined functions satisfying $b = -\eta^2 + \lambda^2$ but $b \not\equiv 0$ on M^3 . Then M^3 is affine equivalent with*

$$F : I \times N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 : (t, u, v) \mapsto (\gamma_1(t)u, \gamma_1(t)v, \gamma_1(t)f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t)),$$

where $\psi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : (u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v))$ is an improper affine sphere with affine normal $(0, 0, 1)$ and $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve.

Moreover, if M^3 admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry then N^2 is an elliptic paraboloid.

In the next theorem we again deal with the converse.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\psi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : (u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v))$ be an improper affine sphere with affine normal $(0, 0, 1)$. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve such that*

$$F(t, u, v) = (\gamma_1(t)u, \gamma_1(t)v, \gamma_1(t)f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t)),$$

defines a positive definite affine hypersurface. Then F admits a pointwise Z_3 - or $SO(2)$ -symmetry.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= (\gamma_1' u, \gamma_1' v, \gamma_1' f(u, v) + \gamma_2', \gamma_1'), \\ F_u &= (\gamma_1, 0, \gamma_1 f_u, 0), \\ F_v &= (0, \gamma_1, \gamma_1 f_v, 0), \\ F_{tt} &= (\gamma_1'' u, \gamma_1'' v, \gamma_1'' f(u, v) + \gamma_2'', \gamma_1'') = \frac{\gamma_1''}{\gamma_1'} F_t + \frac{(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2')}{\gamma_1'} (0, 0, 1, 0), \\ F_{ut} &= \frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} F_u, \\ F_{vt} &= \frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} F_v, \\ F_{uu} &= (0, 0, f_{uu} \gamma_1, 0), \\ F_{uv} &= (0, 0, f_{uv} \gamma_1, 0), \\ F_{vv} &= (0, 0, f_{vv} \gamma_1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that F defines a nondegenerate affine immersion provided that $\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') \neq 0$. We moreover see that this immersion is definite provided that the improper affine sphere is positive definite and $\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') > 0$ or when the improper affine sphere is negative definite and $\gamma_1\gamma_1'(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') < 0$. As the proof in both cases is similar, we will only treat the first case here. It easily follows that we can write the affine normal ξ as:

$$\xi = \alpha(t)(0, 0, 1, 0) + \beta(t)F_t,$$

where $(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2') = \gamma_1^2(\gamma_1')^3\alpha^5$ and $\alpha' + \frac{\beta(\gamma_2''\gamma_1' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{\gamma_1} = 0$. Taking e_1 in the direction of F_t , we see that F_u and F_v are orthogonal to e_1 . It is also clear that S restricted to the space spanned by F_u and F_v is a multiple of the identity, and $S(F_t) = aF_t$, since S is symmetric. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_u) &= (-\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha})h(F_u, F_u), \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_v) &= (-\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha})h(F_u, F_v), \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_v, F_v) &= (-\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha})h(F_v, F_v), \\ (\nabla h)(F_u, F_t, F_t) &= 0 = (\nabla h)(F_v, F_t, F_t), \end{aligned}$$

implying that K_{F_t} restricted to the space spanned by F_u and F_v is a multiple of the identity. Using the symmetries of K it now follows immediately that F admits an Z_3 -symmetry or an $SO(2)$ -symmetry. $\square$

4.2.3 The third case: $\nu \equiv 0$ and $\lambda = \eta$ on M^3

The final case now is that $b = \lambda^2 - \eta^2$ and $\eta = \lambda$ on the whole of M^3 , i. e. $b = 0$. This is dealt with in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. *Let M^3 be a positive definite hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ - or Z_3 -symmetry and with the globally defined functions satisfying $b = 0$ and $\eta = \lambda$ on M^3 . Then M^3 is affine equivalent to*

$$F : I \times N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 : (t, u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t)),$$

where $\psi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : (u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v))$ is an improper affine sphere with affine normal $(0, 0, 1)$ and $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve.

Moreover, if M^3 admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry then N^2 is an elliptic paraboloid.

Proof. We proceed in the same way as in Theorem 4.3. We again use that M^3 admits a warped product structure and we fix a parameter t_0 . At the point t_0 , we have for $\tilde{\xi} = -(\eta + \lambda)e_1 + \xi = -2\lambda e_1 + \xi$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{e_2}e_2 &= \varphi_{22}^3e_3 + \mu e_2 + \tilde{\xi}, \\ D_{e_2}e_3 &= -\varphi_{22}^3e_2 - \mu e_3, \\ D_{e_3}e_2 &= \varphi_{33}^2e_3 - \mu e_3, \\ D_{e_3}e_3 &= \varphi_{33}^2e_2 - \mu e_2 + \tilde{\xi}, \\ D_{e_i}\tilde{\xi} &= 0, \quad i = 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if u and v are local coordinates which span the second distribution, then we can interpret $F(t_0, u, v)$ as an improper affine sphere in a 3-dimensional linear subspace.

Moreover, we see that this improper affine sphere is a paraboloid provided that μ at t_0 vanishes identically (as a function of u and v). From the differential equations (4.20) determining μ , we see that this is the case exactly when μ vanishes identically, i.e. when M admits a pointwise $SO(2)$ -symmetry.

After applying a translation and a change of coordinates, we may assume that

$$F(t_0, u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v), 0),$$

with affine normal $\tilde{\xi}(t_0, u, v) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$. To obtain e_1 at t_0 , we consider (4.24) and (4.25) and get that

$$D_{e_i} e_1 = (\eta - \lambda) e_i = 0, \quad i = 2, 3.$$

It follows that $e_1(t_0, u, v)$ is a constant vector field. As it is transversal, we may assume that there exists an $\alpha \neq 0$ such that

$$e_1(t_0, u, v) = (0, 0, 0, \alpha).$$

As e_1 is determined by the differential equation (cf. (4.44)):

$$\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial t} = 4\lambda e_1 + \tau^{-1}(0, 0, 1, 0),$$

it follows that

$$e_1(t, u, v) = (0, 0, \delta_2(t), \delta_1(t)),$$

where $\delta_2(t_0) = 0$, $\delta_2'(t) = 4\lambda(t)\delta_2(t) + \tau^{-1}(t)$, $\delta_1(t_0) = \alpha$ and $\delta_1'(t) = 4\lambda(t)\delta_1(t)$. Integrating once more with respect to t we obtain that

$$F(t, u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t)),$$

for some functions γ_1 and γ_2 with $\gamma_i' = \delta_i$ and $\gamma_i(t_0) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. $\square$

In the next theorem we deal with the converse.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\psi : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : (u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v))$ be an improper affine sphere with affine normal $(0, 0, 1)$. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve such that*

$$F(t, u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v) + \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t))$$

defines a positive definite affine hypersurface. Then F admits a pointwise Z_3 - or $SO(2)$ -symmetry.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= (0, 0, \gamma_2', \gamma_1'), \\ F_u &= (1, 0, f_u, 0), \\ F_v &= (0, 1, f_v, 0), \\ F_{tt} &= (0, 0, \gamma_2'', \gamma_1'') = \frac{\gamma_1''}{\gamma_1'} F_t + \frac{(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2')}{\gamma_1'} (0, 0, 1, 0), \\ F_{ut} &= F_{vt} = 0, \\ F_{uu} &= (0, 0, f_{uu}, 0), \\ F_{uv} &= (0, 0, f_{uv}, 0), \\ F_{vv} &= (0, 0, f_{vv}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that F defines a nondegenerate affine immersion provided that $\gamma_1'(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2') \neq 0$. We moreover see that this immersion is definite provided that the improper affine sphere is positive definite and $(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2') \gamma_1' > 0$ or when the improper affine sphere is negative definite and $(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2') \gamma_1' < 0$. As the proof in both cases is similar, we will only treat the first case here. It easily follows that we can write the affine normal ξ as:

$$\xi = \alpha(t)(0, 0, 1, 0) + \beta(t)F_t,$$

where $(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2') = (\gamma_1')^3 \alpha^5$ and $\alpha' + \frac{\beta(\gamma_2'' \gamma_1' - \gamma_1'' \gamma_2')}{\gamma_1'} = 0$. Taking now e_1 in the direction of F_t , we see that F_u and F_v are orthogonal to e_1 . It is also clear that $SF_u = SF_v = 0$, and $S(F_t) = \alpha F_t$, since S is symmetric. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_u) &= -\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} h(F_u, F_u) \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_u, F_v) &= -\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} h(F_u, F_v) \\ (\nabla h)(F_t, F_v, F_v) &= -\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} h(F_v, F_v), \\ (\nabla h)(F_u, F_t, F_t) &= 0 = (\nabla h)(F_v, F_t, F_t), \end{aligned}$$

implying that K_{F_t} restricted to the space spanned by F_u and F_v is a multiple of the identity. Using the symmetries of K it now follows immediately that F admits an Z_3 -symmetry or an $SO(2)$ -symmetry. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. R. L. Bryant, *Second order families of special Lagrangian 3-folds*, preprint, arXiv:math.DG/0007128.
2. F. Dillen, *Equivalence theorems in affine differential geometry*, Geometriae Dedicata, **32** (1989), 81–92.
3. F. Dillen and L. Vrancken, *Homogeneous affine hypersurfaces with rank one shape operators*, Math. Z. **212**(1) (1993), 61–72.
4. F. Dillen and L. Vrancken, *Calabi type composition of affine spheres*, Differential Geometry and its applications **4** (1994), 303–328.
5. S. Hiepko, *Eine innere Kennzeichnung der verzerrten Produkte*, Math. Ann. **241** (1979), 209–215.
6. A. M. Li, U. Simon and G. Zhao, *Global Affine Differential Geometry of Hypersurfaces*, volume 11 of De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Walter De Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1993.
7. Y. Lu, *On affine hypersurfaces admitting $\widehat{VS}$ symmetry* (to appear).
8. S. Nölker, *Isometric immersions of warped products*, Differential Geometry and its applications **6** (1996), 1–30.
9. K. Nomizu and T. Sasaki, *Affine Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
10. C. Scharlach, www.math.tu-berlin.de/~schar/symmSO2.ps resp. [symmZ3.ps](http://www.math.tu-berlin.de/~schar/symmZ3.ps).
11. L. Vrancken, *Special classes of three dimensional affine hyperspheres characterized by properties of their cubic form*, Contemporary geometry and related topics, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2004, pp. 431–459.

C. SCHARLACH, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY BERLIN, FAK. II, INSTITUT OF MATHEMATICS, D-10623 BERLIN, GERMANY

Y. LU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, 361000, XIAMEN, FUJIAN, CHINA